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1. Method:
   - whose & what behaviors = dif (since law only addresses behaviors)

2. Exec summary: emphasizes why PR = nec.
   - What = nec? Would it be a prob.
   - Why = nec? Would it be a prob.

3. Diff = a) primary RPs = behave problematically/society
   - require changes; who makes main decision - has
     - direct/mgmt, dept head, etc. = must define
     - clearly so can show why/impl.

   b) identify complex orgs - specific ones; diff ratios
      within them - Case

D. History: What = prob/past?

1. Who benefits/hurt?
   - for soc, eg in electric city, dept
   - who behaves.
   - status quo role of elect &
     - some use own personal eg real
     - selfish behavior.

2. Empirical warrant: Research to support
   - the good suggestion to deal w/ problems.
   - didn't have system = ground in facts

   a) 2 aspects: @ resource allocation
   b) behaviors

   Thus, re history: Stress not just collection of facts, but relate to expl =

   What can