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Need for research that hypothesizes

- Laws = legal res. that enshrines a law / particular aspect
  a) Note: draft policy / farm lab → need to critique how translate into ed.
  b) pts. starting pt. → discuss it in terms of effect of
  pts. expanded → direct ds.
  C) pts. = some disc / PBSA, but broader issue

2) Wiling to help coordinate future work
   a) Need res. / test hypotheses — we really see Roc(?) = research agenda:
      possible exp.

3) Kinds of research:
   a) Re rules / legal order that now exists
   b) Re "beguiled of difficulty: survey data / nut", reg 1 → impact on rural pop.
   c) Re how existing insts affect pop = participatory

   "as work out detailed memo of law / specific bill = how
   might restructure res / post → legislation

b) Set role: Your role as researchers — how
   contribute to complete view res.

c) Follow-up workshop — w. consultants / law
   exp. of each of 3 areas

d) Identification of 2 facilitators: leg. prog. area will
could go to BU → 4 we. prog. Holden res. prob. &
exps. / SA → compare to for. law & exper.
   i) Using bill as 'bicycle' learn more
   abt how to facilitate participatory leg.
   Shifting process

Discussion: Limits: LATER will coordinate → need to get
   nominations for steering comm.
Munakoshi: How do follow-up research?
Monga: If David is in charge, related to other orgs, how?
Jannie: Looking to coordinate process?
Monga: Can draw in people from our orgs as well.